

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 10-0710-CW
Plaintiff,)
v.)
HENRY JAMES CHRISTENSEN,)
Defendant.)

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
STIPULATED REQUEST TO
EXCLUDE TIME UNDER THE
SPEEDY TRIAL ACT**

This matter came before the Court for a status hearing on October 6, 2010, at 10:00 a.m. At that hearing, the case was scheduled for a further status hearing on November 3, 2010, at 10:00 a.m.

The parties agreed, and the Court found, that the time between October 6, 2010 and November 3, 2010, is properly excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3161(h)(7)(A) and (h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties represent and this Court found that the this delay is necessary to allow counsel for the Defendant to obtain and review discovery and to effectively prepare for trial. The parties agree that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

For the foregoing reasons, the Court HEREBY ORDERS the period between October 6, 2010, and November 3, 2010, is properly excluded from the speedy trial calculation under Title

1 18, United States Code, Sections 3161(h)(7)(A) and (h)(7)(B)(iv). The Court finds that the
2 failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel the
3 reasonable time necessary to obtain and review discovery and to effectively prepare for trial.
4 The Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh
5 the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of
6 criminal cases.

7 IT IS SO ORDERED

8
9 DATED: 10/8/2010



10 DONNA M. RYU
United States Magistrate Judge

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28